COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

L.R. No.: 4238-06

Bill No.: SS for SCS for HCS for HB 1400

Subject: State Auditor; Banks and Financial Institutions; Commercial Code; Liens;

Manufactured Housing; Mortgages and Deeds; Political Subdivisions; State

Departments

Type: Original

<u>Date</u>: May 2, 2012

Bill Summary: This proposal modifies provisions relating to security interests and

financial transactions.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue	00	00		
Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
Total Estimated Net Effect on Other State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 4 pages.

Bill No. SS for SCS for HCS for HB 1400

Page 2 of 4 May 2, 2012

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
Total Estimated Net Effect on All				
Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0	

- □ Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).
- ☐ Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0	

L.R. No. 4238-06 Bill No. SS for SCS for HCS for HB 1400 Page 3 of 4 May 2, 2012

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

In response to a previous version of this legislation, officials from the **Attorney General's Office** assumed that any potential costs arising from the proposal could be absorbed with existing resources.

In response to a previous version of this legislation, officials from the Office of the State Treasurer, Department of Insurance, Financial Institutions and Professional Registration, Department of Economic Development - Public Service Commission, Department of Economic Development - Office of the Public Counsel and Department of Economic Development - Missouri Housing Development Commission each assumed the proposal would not fiscally impact their respective agencies.

In response to a previous version of this legislation, officials from the **Office of the Secretary of State (SOS)** stated many bills considered by the General Assembly include provisions allowing or requiring agencies to submit rules and regulations to implement the act. The SOS is provided with core funding to handle a certain amount of normal activity resulting from each year's legislative session. The fiscal impact for the fiscal note to the SOS for Administrative Rules is less than \$2,500. The SOS recognizes that this is a small amount and does not expect that additional funding would be required to meet these costs. However, the SOS also recognizes that many such bills may be passed by the General Assembly in a given year and that collectively the costs may be in excess of what the office can sustain with the core budget. Therefore, the SOS reserves the right to request funding for the cost of supporting administrative rules requirements should the need arise based on a review of the finally approved bills signed by the governor.

Oversight assumes the SOS could absorb the costs of printing and distributing regulations related to this proposal. If multiple bills pass which require the printing and distribution of regulations at substantial costs, the SOS could request funding through the appropriation process.

In response to a previous version of this legislation, officials from the **City of Columbia** assumed the proposal would not fiscally impact their city.

L.R. No. 4238-06

Bill No. SS for SCS for HCS for HB 1400

Page 4 of 4 May 2, 2012

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2013 (10 Mo.)	FY 2014	FY 2015
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2013 (10 Mo.)	FY 2014	FY 2015
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation appears to have no fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Insurance, Financial Institutions and Professional Registration
Office of the State Treasurer
Office of the Secretary of State
Attorney General's Office
Department of Economic Development
City of Columbia

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director May 2, 2012